

# CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



LINDA S. ADAMS
SECRETARY FOR
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1001 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814 • P.O. Box 2815, Sacramento, California 95812-2815 (916) 323-2514 • (916) 324-0908 Fax • <u>www.calepa.ca.gov</u>

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
GOVERNOR

Certified Mail: 7003 1680 0000 6173 5432

January 31, 2008

Ms. Yvonne Sanchez Deputy Director DTSC, Imperial County CUPA 5796 Corporate Avenue Cypress, California 90630

Dear Ms. Sanchez:

The California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA), Office of Emergency Services, Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), and the State Water Resources Control Board conducted a program evaluation of DTSC Imperial County's Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) on December 12 and 13, 2007. The evaluation was comprised of an in-office program review and field oversight inspections. The State evaluators completed a Certified Unified Program Agency Evaluation Summary of Findings with your agency's program management staff, which includes identified deficiencies, with preliminary corrective actions and timeframes, program observations and recommendations, and examples of outstanding program implementation.

The enclosed Evaluation Summary of Findings is now considered final and based upon review, I find that DTSC Imperial County's program performance is satisfactory with some improvement needed. To complete the evaluation process, please submit Deficiency Status Reports to Cal/EPA that depict your agency's progress towards correcting the identified deficiencies. Please submit your Deficiency Status Reports to Kareem Taylor every 90 days after the evaluation date. The first deficiency progress report is due on March 31, 2008.

Cal/EPA also noted during this evaluation that DTSC Imperial County has worked to bring about a number of local program innovations, including the creation of the Field Inspection System (FIS) in Envision and the CUPA's educational outreach process. We will be sharing these innovations with the larger CUPA community through the Cal/EPA Unified Program web site to help foster a sharing of such ideas statewide.

Ms. Yvonne Sanchez January 31, 2008 Page 2

Thank you for your continued commitment to the protection of public health and the environment through the implementation of your local Unified Program. If you have any questions or need further assistance, you may contact your evaluation team leader or Jim Bohon, Manager, Cal/EPA Unified Program at (916) 327-5097 or by email at jbohon@calepa.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

[Original signed by Don Johnson]

Don Johnson Assistant Secretary California Environmental Protection Agency

Enclosure

cc/Sent via email:

Mr. Roger Vintze, CUPA Manager DTSC, Imperial County CUPA 5796 Corporate Avenue Cypress, California 90630

Mr. Terry Snyder State Water Resources Control Board P.O. Box 944212 Sacramento, California 94244-2102

Mr. Fredrick Thomas
Department of Toxic Substances Control
700 Heinz Avenue, Suite 210
Berkeley, California 94710-2721

Dr. Sangat Kals Department of Toxic Substances Control 700 Heinz Avenue, Suite 210 Berkeley, California 94710-2721 Ms. Yvonne Sanchez January 31, 2008 Page 3

#### cc/Sent via Email:

Mr. Jeff Tkach Governor's Office of Emergency Services P.O. Box 419047 Rancho Cordova, California 95741-9047

Mr. Fred Mehr Governor's Office of Emergency Services P.O. Box 419047 Rancho Cordova, California 95741-9047

Mr. Kevin Graves State Water Resources Control Board P.O. Box 944212 Sacramento, California 94244-2102

Ms. Terry Brazell State Water Resources Control Board P.O. Box 944212 Sacramento, California 94244-2102

Mr. Charles McLaughlin Department of Toxic Substances Control 8800 Cal Center Drive Sacramento, California 95826-3200

Ms. Maria Soria Department of Toxic Substances Control 700 Heinz Avenue, Suite 200 Berkeley, California 94710

Mr. Ben Ho Office of the State Fire Marshal P.O. Box 944246 Sacramento, California 94244-2460

Mr. Brian Abeel Governor's Office of Emergency Services P.O. Box 419047 Rancho Cordova, California 95741-9047



LINDA S. ADAMS

SECRETARY FOR

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

# CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



1001 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814 • P.O. Box 2815, Sacramento, California 95812-2815 (916) 323-2514 • (916) 324-0908 Fax • <a href="https://www.calepa.ca.gov">www.calepa.ca.gov</a>

ARNOLD
SCHWARZENEGGER
GOVERNOR

# CERTIFIED UNIFIED PROGRAM AGENCY EVALUATION SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

**CUPA: DTSC Imperial County** 

Evaluation Date: December 12 and 13, 2007

## **EVALUATION TEAM**

Cal/EPA: Kareem Taylor SWRCB: Terry Snyder

OES: Fred Mehr OES: Jeff Tkach

DTSC: Frederick Thomas DTSC: Sangat Kals, PhD

This Evaluation Summary of Findings includes the deficiencies identified during the evaluation, program observations and recommendations, and examples of outstanding program implementation activities. The evaluation findings are preliminary and subject to change upon review by state agency and CUPA management. Questions or comments can be directed to Kareem Taylor at (916) 327-9557.

Deficiency Preliminary Corrective
Action

1	The CUPA is not meeting the mandated inspection frequency for the Hazardous Materials Business Plan (HMBP) program of one inspection every three years. This includes agricultural handlers subject to the business plan program. The CUPA's Annual Summary Reports show the following:  • In FY 06/07, 101 (15%) out of 667 HMBP facilities in Imperial County were routinely inspected.  • In FY 05/06, 0 HMBP facilities in Imperial County were routinely inspected.  CCR, Title 27, Section 15200 (a)(3)(A) (Cal/EPA) (OES) HSC, Chapter 6.95 Section 25503.5(a)	By June 30, 2008, and annually thereafter, the CUPA will inspect at least one third (33% per year) of its HMBP facilities.  By March 30, 2008, develop a plan to meet the inspection frequency for the HMBP program. Submit the plan along with the CUPA's first deficiency status report.
2	The CUPA is not conducting inspections with a frequency consistent with its Inspection and Enforcement Plan. Specifically, the CUPA is not meeting its scheduled inspection frequency for its hazardous waste generator (HWG) program of one inspection every three years. The CUPA's Annual Summary Reports show the following:	By June 30, 2008, and annually thereafter, the CUPA will inspect at least one third (33% per year) of its HWG facilities.  By March 30, 2008, develop a plan to meet the inspection frequency for the

	Livardation Summary of Findings				
	<ul> <li>In FY 06/07, 98 (18%) out of 551 HWG facilities in Imperial County were routinely inspected.</li> <li>In FY 05/06, 0 HWG facilities in Imperial County were routinely inspected.</li> </ul>	HWG program. Submit the plan along with the CUPA's first deficiency status report.			
	CCR, Title 27, Section 15200(a)(3)(A) (Cal/EPA) (DTSC)				
3	The CUPA is not meeting the mandated inspection frequency for the CalARP program of one inspection every three years. The CUPA's Annual Summary Reports show the following:  • In FY 06/07, 1 (2%) out of 44 CalARP facilities	By June 30, 2008, and annually thereafter, the CUPA will inspect at least one third (33% per year) of its CalARP facilities.  By March 30, 2008, develop a plan to			
	<ul> <li>in Imperial County were routinely inspected.</li> <li>In FY 05/06, 0 CalARP facilities in Imperial County were routinely inspected.</li> </ul>	meet the inspection frequency for the CalARP program. Submit the plan along with the CUPA's first deficiency status report.			
4	<ul> <li>CCR, Title 27, Section 15200 (a)(3)(A) (Cal/EPA) (OES)</li> <li>The CUPA is not documenting violations in a manner consistent with the definitions of minor, Class II or Class I as provided in law and regulation.</li> <li>1) Brawley Express' 7/18/06 inspection indicated the facility had not kept copies of manifests for the required three year period. This was cited as a minor violation when it is a Class II violation.</li> <li>2) Calexico Freight Lines' 12/7/07 Failure to Return to Compliance letter indicates that there were violations cited during a 6/5/07 inspection. The level of the violations was not documented; some appear to be Class II.</li> <li>CCR, Title 27, Section 15200 (a)(8)</li> <li>HSC, Chapter 6.5, Sections 25110.8.5 and 25117.6</li> <li>CCR, Title 22, Section 66260.10 (DTSC)</li> </ul>	By January 13, 2008, the CUPA shall develop a plan of action to ensure that staff is trained and familiar with the statutory and regulatory definitions for the different hazardous waste violation classifications, and is documenting them accordingly. The plan of action should also involve training in the violation classification guidance document.			
5	The CUPA is not fully implementing its Inspection and Enforcement Program and Plan.  1) Brawley Express was issued a Notice to Comply/Summary of Violations on 7/18/06, to be corrected by 8/18/06. However, the CUPA didn't receive a Return to Compliance certificate until 11/13/06. The CUPA issued its Return to Compliance response letter more than a year later on 12/4/07. There is no documentation that the CUPA followed up with facility or implemented any enforcement action.	By January 13, 2008, the CUPA shall develop a plan of action to fully implement its Inspection and Enforcement Program and Plan.			
	any emorecment action.				

	Evaluation Summary of Time	1
	2) Calexico Freight Lines was issued a Notice to	
	Comply/Summary of Violations on 6/5/07. A	
	Failure to Return to Compliance letter was issued	
	on 12/7/07. There has been no follow up, such as,	
	reinspection or escalation of violation status.	
	HSC Chanton ( 5 Seption 25404.2(a)/2)	
	HSC Chapter 6.5, Section 25404.2(a)(3) CCR, Title 27, Section 15200(a)(f) (DTSC)	
	The CUPA is unable to document that all facilities that	By January 13, 2008, the CUPA shall
	have received a notice to comply citing minor violations	ensure that facilities who are cited for
6	have returned to compliance within 30 days of	minor violations during hazardous waste
	notification. The business shall either submit a Return to	inspections have either submitted a
	Compliance Certification in order to document its	Return to Compliance Certification or
	compliance or in the absence of certification the CUPA	been followed up with within the
	shall follow up with the business to confirm that	required corrective action date.
	•	required corrective action date.
	compliance has been achieved.	
	CCR, Title 27, Section 15200(a)(8) (DTSC)	
	CUPA is not ensuring that business plans are being	By March 30 2008, the CUPA must
	reviewed for completeness and accuracy. During file	submit an action plan that will ensure
	review 8 out of 9 files where missing either, site maps,	that business plans are being reviewed
7	training programs, emergency response plans, or annual	for completeness and accuracy.
	inventory certifications.	
	HSC, Chapter 6.95, Section 25505 (a)(2) (OES)	
	CUPA has not reviewed or updated their area plan within	By December 31, 2008, the CUPA shall
	the last 36 months. The area plan was implemented in	submit an area plan update to the
8	1992.	Governors Office of Emergency
		Services.
	HSC, Chapter 6.95, Section 25503 (d) (OES)	This deficience
	CUPA is not forwarding the data collected with other	This deficiency was corrected before
	responsible agencies in a format easily interpreted by	completion of the initial report.
Λ	those agencies. CUPA is forwarding data in CD format;	
9	however, local agencies are without computer access in	
	their first responder vehicles.	
	HSC, Chapter 6.95, Section 25509.2 (a)(3) (OES)	
	Emergency Response Plans/Procedures are being	By March 30, 2008 the CUPA shall
	submitted without all of the minimum elements	submit an action plan to include all
	necessary. Mitigation, prevention, or abatement of	elements of the emergency response
	hazards to person's, property or environment, and	plans/procedures.
	identification of areas of the facility and mechanical or	pians, procedures.
10	other systems that require immediate inspection or	
	<u> </u>	
	isolation because of the vulnerability to earthquake	
	related ground motion are missing from submitted plans.	
	CCR, Title 19, Section 2731 (OES)	
		1

CUPA Representative	Yvonne Sanchez	Original signed	
	(Print Name)	(Signature)	
<b>Evaluation Team Leader</b>	Kareem Taylor	Original signed	
	(Print Name)	(Signature)	

### PROGRAM OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The observations and recommendations provided in this section address activities the CUPA are implementing and/or may include areas for continuous improvement not specifically required of the CUPA by regulation or statute.

1. **Observation:** The CUPA is currently taking steps to meet inspections for the HMBP, HWG, and CalARP programs. One new staff was hired in November 2007 and another staff is scheduled to be hired in January 2008.

**Recommendation:** Send new staff to training workshops such as those offered at the 2008 CUPA Conference. Continue to work to meet inspection frequencies for all UP elements.

2. Observation: A CUPA web site is currently in the development stages. The CUPA directs the regulated community to DTSC's, Cal CUPA's, and Cal/EPA's web sites to obtain UP consolidated forms (UPCFs) and compliance information. An Imperial CUPA specific web site would be more convenient for the regulated community to pay their single fee, as well as, obtain UP consolidated forms, compliance information, and outreach materials. A CUPA specific web site may cause Imperial County residents/businesses to be more accepting of the environmental regulatory process.

**Recommendation:** Continue to develop the CUPA's web site. Proposed implementation date is scheduled for March 2008.

- **3. Observation:** The CUPA does not have a Summary of Violations (SOVs) form that allows UST owners/operators to self certify that minor violations have been corrected within an allotted time frame.
- **4. Recommendation:** The SWRCB encourages the CUPA to continue developing their SOV form. The form should be for minor violations identified during inspections and should list the codes for the citations and correction dates. The form should allow UST owners/operators to self certify that minor violations have been corrected within an allotted time frame. The CUPA should specify any additional information required to be submitted with the form to assure compliance.
- **5. Observation:** In the three of the four files reviewed, some of the documents were not filed chronologically and supporting information was misplaced. The fourth file reviewed was filed by sections and documents were easily found and identified.

**Recommendation:** The SWRCB strongly encourages the CUPA to ensure that documents are filed chronologically. The SWRCB strongly encourages the CUPA to file documents in sections (e.g. one section for facility forms with related correspondence, one section for inspection forms, one section for general correspondence, etc).

**6. Observation:** The facility inspection reports reviewed did not always contain consent to inspect by the facility owner/operator. Consent, preferably signed by the owner, on the inspection report is important because it strengthens any potential enforcement case against a noncompliant facility.

**Recommendation:** Document consent granted for all facility inspections by having the owner/operator sign the consent portion of the inspection report.

**7. Observation:** The CUPA staff has access to a camera; however, during the file review it was evident that photographs were not taken during most inspections.

**Recommendation:** Photographs are useful to document violations and the conditions at facilities at the time of the inspection. Date stamped photographs could help strengthen your case should enforcement become necessary.

**8. Observation:** In some of the files reviewed, in the Certificate of Compliance section, it is noted that the inspection was announced and only one program element was inspected.

**Recommendation:** Unless the facility is a farm or in a more inaccessible location, inspections should be unannounced and program element inspections should be combined.

**9. Observation:** The CUPA is not using separate, specific checklists for the different quantity generators and/or tiered permitting facilities during inspections.

**Recommendation:** Develop a checklist for inspectors to use during inspections of the various facilities due to the number and types of requirements that are unique to these facilities. Checklist(s) should include contingency plan, tank assessment, SB14, incompatible waste, aisle space and waste secure area.

**10. Observation:** The CUPA has 44 CalARP facilities within their jurisdiction; however, only eight facilities have been inspected. CalARP facilities have extremely hazardous materials and should be a high priority for inspection.

**Recommendation:** A higher priority should be placed on inspecting CalARP facilities to meet the 3 year cycle requirements.

#### EXAMPLES OF OUTSTANDING PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

- 1. The CUPA identifies its universe of regulated facilities by reviewing facility lists from Imperial County's Planning department and other agencies, Department of Toxic Substances Control's manifest system, and phone books. The CUPA also visits facilities and sends surveys to facilities that may need to be regulated. The CUPA has better defined it regulated universe since January 2005.
- 2. CUPA has made a tremendous outreach effort in a community that is mainly agricultural. CUPA schedules community outreach meetings in a manner that is accessible to the community as a whole. The CUPA travels to various locations in Imperial County so that the regulated community may participate in outreach programs more easily. The CUPA perform a number of training courses throughout the year for the regulated community. These include courses in:
  - Hazardous Waste and Tiered Permitting Regulation
  - Underground Storage Tank/Aboveground Storage Tank (CUPA staff is instructing a UST training course at the 2008 CUPA Conference)
  - Vehicle Maintenance Repair
  - Business Plan Training
  - Hazardous Waste Management Standards in California (also in Spanish)
  - Business Owner/Operator Identification and Business Activities Forms
  - CalARP
- **3.** The CUPA is designing a Field Inspection System (FIS) in Envision. FIS will allow inspectors to electronically generate inspection checklists and reports, as well as, enter inspection data while out in the field. Currently, Envision is used to record UPCF information and to invoice their facilities. The CUPA also uses MS access and CUPA soft databases to record inspection information (violations, type of inspection, etc.)
- **4.** The CUPA's UST inspector conducted the facility inspection in a thorough and professional manner. The inspector's attention to detail and knowledge of code and regulations resulted in an excellent inspection. During the inspection, a small amount of diesel fuel was found in a dispenser pan which the inspector had the service technician remove and properly dispose of before the monitoring certification was over. The inspector asked the SWRCB evaluator for suggestions on how to improve his inspection technique and procedure.
- **5.** The CUPA has used the Hazardous Waste Tracking System (HWTS) to identify non-manifesting generators of hazardous waste.
- **6.** The CUPA's procedure documents and self audits were very detailed and organized. The procedure folders contained a detailed table of contents with page numbers and labeled folder tabs that separated the different sections. During the evaluation, it was very easy to find specific procedures and plans to review.
- **7.** The CUPA regularly coordinates with various agencies within Imperial County in order improve environmental protection in the region. These include:
  - LEPC/OES Region VI
  - Border 2012
  - Fire Chief Association

- Hazardous Waste Task Force of Imperial
- CEQA Environmental Review Committee for Imperial
- Hazardous Incident Response Committee (HIRC)